



Korea-Cambodia Policy Dialogue on Development Cooperation

Session 5

Global Development Cooperation: Busan and Post-2015



Busan: A turning point for development cooperation

“ 2011 was a critical year for international development cooperation

- . Progress toward more effective aid (Rome Declaration on Harmonization of 2003 and subsequent commitments) was disappointing
- . MDG deadline 2015: urgency

“ Shifting thinking about development

- . Aid alone is not enough
- . Increasingly complex development modalities: actors, country contexts, new forms



Busan Partnership for Effective Development Cooperation: embodiment of this shift

Four principles to achieve common goals

- “ Ownership of development priorities by developing countries
- “ Focus on results
- “ Inclusive development partnerships
- “ Transparency and accountability to each other



Implementing Busan Partnership in Cambodia

“ Rectangular Strategy Phase 3

- . Inclusive Partnership

“ Development Cooperation and Partnership Strategy 2014-2018

- . Promoting development effectiveness
 - “ Effective and sustainable development
 - “ Capacity development and systems strengthening
 - “ Inclusive partnership



Development Cooperation and Partnership Strategy

- **Principles**
 - **Leadership**
 - **Trust** and **respect**
 - **Sustainable** and **equitable** socio-economic development
 - **Global norms** and agreed **practices**
 - **Harmonised** management and procedures
 - **Capacity development**
- **Tools**
 - PBA
 - Results-Based Approaches
 - Resource Transparency and Accountability
 - Joint Monitoring Indicators
- Dialogue Mechanisms-inclusive and multi-stakeholders
- Monitoring Framework



Monitoring Busan commitments

- “ 10 indicators, of which 5 monitored in-country
- “ Results from 2013 Monitoring

Busan monitoring indicators		2013 status
Indicators monitored using national data sources		
1	Extent of use of country results frameworks by co-operation providers	tbc
5	Development co-operation is more predictable (a) annual: proportion of aid disbursed compared to scheduled (b) medium-term: % of aid covered by indicative forward plans	91% 85%
6	Aid is on budget (recorded and approved by the legislature)	79%
7	Mutual accountability (joint assessments of progress)	Yes
9a	Quality of PFM systems	3.5
9b	Use of country PFM and procurement systems.	32%
10	Aid is untied	80%



Council for the Development
of Cambodia

Cambodian Rehabilitation
and Development Board



Thank You!

Cambodia