SIX MONTH PROGRESS REPORT OF THE JOINT TECHNICAL WORKING GROUPS
For the Period: December 2005 - May 2006

*This questionnaire on progress made by each Joint Technical Working Group is requested by the Secretariat of the Government-Donor Coordination Committee (GDCC). It should be completed and submitted to the Secretariat of the GDCC before **29 May 2006**. It will be used to prepare a summary progress report for the seventh GDCC Meeting scheduled to be held on 14 June 2006. Please return the completed questionnaire to H.E. Mr. Chhieng Yanara at CDC/CRDB in both soft and hard copies.*

TWG-LAND

A. PROGRESS IN IMPLEMENTING TWG'S ACTION PLANS

1. Please list below activities in the Action Plans that were scheduled to be implemented during the last six months (December 2005 to May 2006) and provide an update on implementation status of these activities.

Scheduled Activities	Implementation Status			
	On Schedule	Behind Schedule	If behind schedule, reasons for delay	TWG Recommendations for GDCC Consideration, if any
Establish task forces on two specific subjects: (a) development of the PBA approach for the land sector, and (b) systematic land titling in the development project areas.	The two task forces have been approved by MLMUPC but members not assigned yet. Task force on PBA is working. See under C. below.			
Establish the TWG-Land Secretariat (including staffing)	TWG-Land reviewed the ToRs for the positions and thereafter Ministry of LMUPC considered and approved the ToRs.	Recruitment process delayed. However, the TWG-Land Secretariat is functioning with part-time staff assigned by MLMUPC and LMAP. (since October 2005)	Public announcement has been delayed.	
Enforcement of Article 18 of the Land Law	This point falls now under JMI. See below..	-	-	

2. Are there any other issues affecting the implementation of TWG Action Plan that it would like to submit for GDCC's consideration.

.....

.....

.....

.....

B. PROGRESS ON JOINT MONITORING INDICATORS

3. If there are any Joint Monitoring Indicator(s) agreed at the 8th CG Meeting held in March 2006 that fall within the mandate of *this* TWG, please list the JMIs below and indicate progress that has been made toward achieving the JMI targets.

Joint Monitoring Indicator	What is the current implementation status? What are the constraints that you are experiencing, if any? What recommendations do you have, for GDCC consideration to resolve the identified constraints?
i.(4 iv c). Complete preparation of regulations to enforce State Land Management Sub-Decree.	Prakas Nr. 42 DNS.BK on State Land Identification, Mapping and Classification issued on 10 March 2006. Criteria for State Land Classification as an annex to Prakas Nr. 42 drafted, still under discussion in MLMUCP: Joint Prakas on State Land Use Planning with MoI and MLMUPC planned to start drafting.
ii. (4 iv d). Elaborate and Implement Article 18 of the Land Law.	Draft Circular on Illegal State Land Holding, Article 18 completed, now under discussion in MLMUPC. Policy issues including institutional arrangements still to be solved.
iii. (4 iv e). Adopt RGC's strategy and regulations for the indigenous people	Draft Policy for Preparation of Sub-Decree on Registration of Land of Indigenous Communities revised and under further discussion.
iv. (8 iv) To improve the livelihoods of the rural poor, 500 eligible households are settled on social land concessions with livelihood support and at least 10,000 hectares of suitable land confirmed as available for social land concessions.	Preparatory work is done in two pilot projects, one in Kratie District, Kratie Province and one in Memot District, Kp. Cham Province. A total of around 4,500 ha is surveyed and natural resource assessment has been done. Training on land identification and selection of target land recipients has been done. In general it is very difficult to find free land. Most of the land is already occupied by people with unclear legal status. State Land classification issues and Article 18 issues need to be solved before land distribution process can start on a larger scale.
V (11 iii). Develop and implement a Land Management Policy and associated legal framework to improve sustainable utilization of land resources.	Amendment of Law on Land Management, Urban Planning and Construction from 1994 is in the drafting process.
vi (11 iv). Strengthen and expand the scope of land registration by: issuing 300,000 land title certificates in 2006;	During the first quarter of 2006 94,571 land titles were distributed. This means very good progress.
increasing the percentage of cases successfully resolved by the cadastral commission: 450 cases successfully resolved out of 800 cases handled in 2006	As of April 31 at total of 101 cases have been resolved out of 354 received. This output can be considered as normal.
Revision of cadastral service fee structure, especially for sporadic and subsequent registration, public dissemination of the new fee structure and stamp duty for subsequent registration.	Discussions on the way. Time limit June 2006 cannot be reached. There are policy and institutional issues involved which have to be resolved first.
State land mapping conducted in five districts and results placed in a publicly accessible state land data-base.	Preparation ongoing.

C. Implementation Status of the Action Plan on Harmonization, Alignment and Results

4. What actions have you taken to implement the updated Harmonization, Alignment, and Results Action Plan? What are the constraints that you are experiencing, if any? What recommendations do you have, for GDCC consideration to resolve the identified constraints?

Action Taken	Update
i.PBA Land	TWG Land Taskforce on PBA is supported by Global Donor Platform on Rural Development to further harmonise activities in the land sector. GDPRD facilitator came twice to work with stakeholders on PBA development. TWG Land Donor Facilitators and TWG deputy chair went to the annual meeting of GDPRD to discuss support for PBA Land in Cambodia. As a result GDPRD is planning to support PBA Land in Cambodia for up to 2 to 3 year by providing international and national facilitators.
ii.	
iii.	

D. Resource Mobilization

5. Has the TWG been able to mobilize the resources to implement its Action Plan for 2006?

☑ yes ☐ no

If no, please answer the following questions:

- What is the total amount of resources the TWG had estimated to implement its Action Plan for 2006? (in USD).....
- What is the minimum additional amount that the TWG needs to implement its Action Plan? (in USD) .....
- Which development partners do you think would be able to provide the required resources? .....

Report endorsed by:

TWG Chair(s): H.E. Chhan Saphan 06.06.2006 Lead Donor Coordinator(s): Steven N. Schonberger 06.06.2006

Dr. Franz-Volker Müller 06.06.2006