

Questions for review

A1. Does the sector have a results framework? If yes, what is its relationship to the following:

- a) Sector policy/strategy
- b) Annual workplan and Budget Strategic Plan
- c) NSDP
- d) JMIs
- e) TWG workplan
- f) Results frameworks of major development partners active in the sector?

A1: Yes,

a) Sector policy/strategy,
b) Annual work plan only for secretariat but not for the sector as a whole. To fully reflect the good work being done to improve justice system the strategy and action plan of CLJR need to be updated through the broad process of participating.

c) NSDP: Planned Actions to implement the Prioritised of LJR sector has been put in the NSDP updated, but annual work plan for the sector and Budgeting Strategic Plan has not been prepared.

Challenges: there is not enough clearance of coordination mechanism between DPs and implementing ministries. General Secretary of council for legal and judicial reform is difficult to hold implementing ministries accountable for their contribution to implementation of the legal and judicial reform strategy. Sector is very broad, and there are only few donors to support this sector.

- d) JMIs: have been submitted regularly to CDC,
- e) TWG work plan: yes

A2. Is the JMI derived from the sector strategy and an associated results framework?

Answer: Yes,

Anti-corruption law

Criminal code and Criminal Procedure code

Civil code and Civil Procedure code

Above laws have already been adopted and there are still 3 more fundamental laws have not been adopted. These laws are law on statue of the judge and prosecutor, law on the organization and functioning of the court, and amendment law on supreme council of magistracy.

A3. Are there any arrangements for joint (sector-wide) monitoring and discussion of results based on the sector strategy/plan?

Answer: Still under development including incorporation of achievements under police and prison reform and village and commune safety policy.

A4. How do current aid coordination arrangements (PBA, TWG, bilateral consultations) support the promotion of results-based work?

Answer: There is weak support from the donors because there is no clear result framework yet.

Issues for discussion and further action

A5. Based on the questions above, what are the priorities (including for training and support) for strengthening results frameworks at sector level as well as their linkage to the JMIs, NSDP and project-level monitoring arrangements?

Answer: cannot be determined unless there is an update of action plan for LJR sector.

A6. Has the JMI recently been up-dated? If not, would it be timely to do so based on 2012 operational plans and performance targets? Could a new JMI be developed in line with a sector results framework? In what ways could the JMI preparation and monitoring process itself be improved?


Answer: we need to review JMI for updated.

A7. Are monitoring targets (outcomes and outputs) effectively linked to the process of programming resources (budgets, annual plans, Budget Strategic Plans etc? (Consider the main strengths of the current arrangements – inter-departmental cooperation etc – and the areas in which the resource-results relationship can be improved).

Answer: no

Questions and issues for review

B1. The basic components of a PBA are set out below. Discuss the status of each main area of a PBA (or other existing partnership management arrangements) in your TWG/sector. Consider the main focus of work over the past year and priority areas for the year ahead.



Answer: CLJR want to use PBA, but there is not enough support from the Donors.

B2. The objective of a PBA (April 2011 training) is "to promote better organisation and better results". Does the PBA, or current partnership management arrangements, make a positive contribution to this objective. Why (or why not)?

Answer: not applicable

B3. Has PBA (or more general aid coordination work) promoted the strengthening and use of country systems? How have the major reform programmes contributed to systems strengthening? Which systems can be the most appropriate focus of capacity support and strengthening over the next few years (e.g. results frameworks and monitoring systems, ODA programming and budget integration, capacity development)?

Answer: not applicable

B4. Is your TWG willing to work with CRDB/CDC to develop a pilot country systems assessment tool? (If so, in which area, e.g. results frameworks and monitoring, ODA programming and budget integration, capacity development?)

Answer: yes, CLJR are willing to work with CRDB/CDC to develop a pilot country systems assessment tool in the area of budget integration and capacity development areas.

B5. What other kind of support is required from CRDB/CDC (or other RGC agency, e.g. in core reforms) to promote development effectiveness through PBAs, improved organisation and strengthening country systems?

Answer: PBA establishment.

Issues for discussion and questions for review

C1. How well has TWG performed over last year in terms of the following:

- a) Structured work around an annual plan with indicators linked to sector/thematic plan?
- b) Strengthening coordination across Government with other relevant ministries/agencies?
- c) Identifying partnering opportunities with South-South partners, private sector & civil society?
- d) Dialogue and agreement on issues that relate to effective development (in terms of achieving sector and national development goals?)

Answer:

- a) Done well, and could be improve
- b) Done well, and could be improve

- c) Not yet
- d) Yes, but we need to have closed dialogue on how to update the Action Plan.

C2. Do RGC and DP members share the same views on TWG performance (if not, on what issues do they differ?)

Answer: No, there are some behind constrains.

C3. What are the major issues to address in order to consolidate and strengthen partnerships at sector level and in the TWGs?

Answer: inter-ministerial collaboration with high level political leadership.

C4. Is there any additional support – from CRDB/CDC or other agencies – that could support effective dialogue and coordination?

Answer: Assessment such as PBA, Partnering skill
Assessment on how to overcome the lack of in inter-ministerial collaboration with high level political leadership.